

## The Michigan House of Representatives

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S. Adamini | D-109 |  | - | + | + | + | + | + | A | + | 75\% |
| J.Allen | R-104 |  | - | - | + | + | + | + | - | - | 50\% |
| G. Anderson | D-018 |  | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | - | 88\% |
| R. Basham | D-022 | 9 | + | + | + | - | + | + | + | - | 75\% |
| V.Bernero | D-068 |  | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | $100 \%$ |
| P. Birkholz | R-088 | 9 | - | - | + | + | + | + | - | - | 50\% |
| C. Bisbee | R-064 |  | - | - | - | + | - | + | - | - | 25\% |
| M. Bishop | R-045 |  | - | - | + | + | + | + | - | - | 50\% |
| R. Bogardus | D-047 |  | + | + | + | + | + | A | + | + | 88\% |
| D.Bovin | D-108 |  | - | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 88\% |
| K. Bradstreet | R-105 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0\% |
| B. Brown | D-016 |  | A | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 88\% |
| C. Brown | R-059 |  | - | - | - | + | + | + | - | - | 38\% |
| R. Brown | D-110 | 9 | - | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 88\% |
| W. Callahan | D-026 | 9 | + | + | + | + | + | - | - | + | 75\% |
| N. Cassis | R-038 |  | - | - | + | + | + | + | - | - | 50\% |
| S.Caul | R-099 |  | - | + | + | + | + | + | - | - | 63\% |
| I.Clark | D-011 |  | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | $100 \%$ |
| H.Clarke | D-007 |  | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | A | 88\% |
| K. Daniels | D-005 |  | + | + | A | A | + | + | + | A | 63\% |
| J. Dennis | D-092 |  | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 100\% |
| G. DeRossett | R-055 | 9 | - | - | + | + | + | + | - | - | 50\% |
| L.DeVuyst | R-093 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0\% |
| P. DeWeese | R-067 |  | - | + | + | A | + | + | + | - | 63\% |
| L. Drolet | R-033 |  | - | - | - | + | - | + | - | - | 25\% |
| S.Ehardt | R-083 |  | - | - | + | + | + | + | - | - | 50\% |
| J.Faunce | R-029 |  | - | - | + | + | + | + | - | - | 50\% |
| A.t.Frank | D-096 |  | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 100\% |
| B. Garza | D-008 |  | A | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 88\% |
| T. George | R-061 |  | - | - | + | + | + | + | - | - | 50\% |
| P. Gieleghem | D-031 | $\$$ | + | + | + | + | + | + | - | + | 88\% |
| J. Gilbert | R-082 |  | - | - | + | + | + | + | - | - | 50\% |
| P. Godchaux | R-040 |  | - | - | + | + | + | + | - | - | 50\% |
| R. Gosselin | R-042 |  | - | - | + | + | - | + | - | - | 38\% |
| L. Hager | R-081 |  | - | - | + | + | + | + | - | - | 50\% |
| D. Hale | D-014 |  | A | + | + | + | + | + | A | + | 75\% |
| J.Hansen | D-052 |  | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 100\% |
| A. Hardman | D-003 |  | A | + | + | + | A | + | + | + | 75\% |
| D. Hart | R-073 |  | - | - | + | + | + | + | - | - | 50\% |
| J.Howell | R-094 |  | - | - | + | + | + | + | - | - | 50\% |
| S. Hummel | R-086 |  | N | N | + | N | - | - | - | N | 25\% |
| G. Jacobs | D-035 |  | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | $100 \%$ |
| R. Jamnick | D-054 |  | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | $100 \%$ |
| M. Jansen | R-072 |  | - | - | + | + | A | + | - | - | 38\% |
| R. Jelinek | R-078 |  | - | - | + | + | + | + | - | - | 50\% |
| Rick Johnson | R-102 |  | - | - | + | + | + | + | - | - | 50\% |
| Ruth Johnson | R-046 |  | - | - | + | + | + | + | - | - | 50\% |
| L.Julian | R-085 | 9 | - | - | + | + | + | + | - | - | 50\% |
| K. Kilpatrick | D-009 | 9 | N | + | N | + | N | N | N | + | $100 \%$ |
| J. Koetje | R-074 |  | - | - | + | + | + | - | - | - | 38\% |
| C. Kolb | D-053 | 9 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 100\% |
| J. Kooiman | R-075 |  | - | - | + | + | + | + | - | - | 50\% |
| M. Kowall | R-044 |  | - | - | + | + | + | + | - | - | 50\% |
| W. Kuipers | R-090 |  | - | - | + | + | - | - | - | - | 25\% |
| C.LaSata | R-079 | 9 | - | - | + | + | + | + | - | A | 50\% |
| L.Lemmons | D-002 |  | + | + | + | + | A | + | A | + | 75\% |
| A.Lipsey | D-060 |  | + | - | + | + | + | + | + | + | 88\% |
| P.Lockwood | D-051 |  | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 100\% |
| G.Mans | D-023 | 9 | A | + | + | + | + | $+$ | + | + | 88\% |
| B. McConico | D-006 |  | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 100\% |
| D. Mead | R-101 |  | - | - | + | + | + | + | - | - | 50\% |
| T.Meyer | R-084 |  | - | - | + | + | + | + | - | - | 50\% |
| M. Middaugh | R-080 |  | - | - | + | + | + | + | - | - | 50\% |
| J.Minore | D-049 |  | + | + | + | A | + | + | + | A | 75\% |
| M. Mortimer | R-065 |  | - | - | + | + | + | + | - | - | 50\% |
| M. Murphy | D-069 |  | + | + | + | A | + | + | + | A | 75\% |
| A. Neuman | D-106 |  | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 100\% |

G. Newell R-087 W.O'Neil D-024 $\begin{array}{ll}\text { J. Pappageorge } & R-032 \\ \text { R-041 }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll}\text { B. Patterson } & R-021\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Patterson } & R-021 \\ \text { S.Pestka } & D-076\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S. Pestka } & \text { D-076 } \\ \text { C. Phillips } & \text { D-043 }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { C.Phillips } & \text { D-043 } \\ \text { J.Plakas } & \text { D-017 }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { J. Plakas } & \text { D-017 } \\ \text { M. Pumford } & \text { R-100 }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{cc}\text { M. Pumford } & R-100 \\ \text { N. Quarles } & D-036\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { A. Raczkowski } & \text { R-037 }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{cc}\text { T. Reeves } & \text { D-013 }\end{array}$
$\begin{aligned} \text { R. Richardville } & \text { R-056 } \\ \text { A Richner } & \text { R-001 }\end{aligned}$
$\begin{array}{cl}\text { ARichner } & \text { R-001 } \\ \text { V. Rison } & R-048\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { V.Rison } & \text { R-048 } \\ \text { J.Rivet } & D-097\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{cc}\text { S. Rocca } & \text { R-030 } \\ \text { Schauer } & \text { D-062 }\end{array}$ G. Schermesser $\quad$ D-025
J. Scranton R-066
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { S. Schackleton } & \text { R-107 } \\ \text { D. Sheltrown } & \text { D-103 }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { D. Sheltrown } & \text { D-103 } \\ \text { M. Shulman } & \text { R-039 }\end{array}$
D. Spade D-057
K. Stallworth D-012
T. Stamas R-098
J.Stewart R-020
M. Switalski D-027
$\begin{array}{rr}\text { S.Tabor } & \text { R-071 } \\ \text { S.Thomas } & \text { D-010 }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{rr}\text { S.Thomas } & \text { D-010 } \\ \text { L.Toy } & \text { R-019 }\end{array}$ J.Vander Roest R-063 B.Vander Veen R-089 G.Van Woerkem R-091
S.Vear R-058
J.Voorhees R-077
M. Waters D-004
G.Whitmer D-070
C.Williams D-095
$\begin{array}{rr}\text { P.Wojno } & \text { D-028 } \\ \text { D. Woodward } & \text { D-034 }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { D.Woodward } & \text { D-034 } \\ \text { G.Woronchak } & \text { R-015 }\end{array}$
P.Zalenko D-050

Members of the Michigan House of Representatives serve two Score. Representatives Humell, Drolet, and Palmer were elected mid-term. Thosev votes taken before their election to the House do not count against them in their Overall $S$ core.

1 Subsidizing Air Pollution (HB 4792,PA 49 of 2001)
Subsidizing Air Pollution (HB 4792, PA 49 of 2001 )
HB 4922 reauthorized the fee placed on power plants and large stationary
sources of a air pollution, which payy for the Deperatment of Environmental Quality (DEQ) air permit and inspection program. However, the bill that
passed the elesislute passed the legislature will not raise enough money to run an adequate
program. A "Yes" vote on this amendment offered by Rep. Kolb would hav ensured adequate funding. Failed $37-60$.
Banning Directional Drilling 1 (HB 4259, PA 44 of 2001) Ghe scorecard contains two House votes on directional drilling under the mendment offered by hep.. Shackelton on the $2001-2002$ Departm Natural Resources (DNR) Budget. A "Yes" on this amendment would have ded one year to the moratorium on new directional drililing. Failed $53-54$
Banning Directional Drilling 2 (HB 5118 , PA 148 of 2002)
Later in the
Later in the session, duy to intense public pressure brought by environmental
groups, directiona drilling was revisited by the legislature in the form of stand-alone bill. A "Yes" vote on this legislation, sponsored by Rep Shackelton, placed a permanent ban on new directional drilling. Passed 98-7. Raiding Game and Fish Fund (HB 4912, PA 50 of 2001)
HB 4912 was an attempt by the Engler administation HB 4912 was an attempt by the Engler administration to raid the Game and
Fish Protection Fund. The Fund is comprised primarily of dollars paid for hunting and fishing licenses and is dedicated to habitat improvement. A vunting and ishing iicenses and is dedicated to habitat improve ment. A
vote on this amendment, ffered by Rep. Birkhol,
Fund, whined the fund as a Turust
Fich will help ensure the integrity of the fund and protect it against
 Mercury is a potent neuro-toxin that has been shown to cause birth defe
infants and reproductive harm in women of child bearing age. Digital thermometers are reactive harm in wavilable and of of re relativively inexpens sive substitutes. A "Yes" vote on this bill, sponsored by Rep. Minor, makest he sale of mercury
thermometers illegal beginning January 1,2003 . Passed $97-9$.
 Beach closings due to unsafe and contaminated water have been a chronic
problem in Michigan for the past deade. A "Yes" vote on this bill, sponsored
by Rep Birkholz required by Rep. Birkhotz2, required public beaches to opost a notice stating whether or
not they test and, if hey do, where beach users can obtain the most recent not they test and, if they $d$.

Exercising Govermment Oversight (SBB 1104, Sent to Governor)
Earier this year, the Senate passed the Earlier his year,
to correct a failing wetland mititiation program and report on enforcement of to correct t a failing wetland mititiation program and report on enforcement of
our environmental laws. However, the final version stripped this language and further cut program budgets. A "No" vote on this bill means taking more
responsibility for government oversight to ensure the DEQ is protecting responsibility for government oversight to ensure the DEQ is protectity
public health and natural resources. Passed $60-44$.
(8) Protecting Pollution Prevention Programs (HB 4626, PA 165 of 2001) During the process of reauthorization of hazardous Waste fees, the law was
changed to allow funds previously dedicated for pollution prevention programs to cover operating expenses. In the past, program costs have been paid for directly using hazardous waste fees. A "Yes" vote on this amendment, offered by Rep. Callahan would have stopped the raid and
ensured full funding of pollution prevention programs. Failed $40-60$.

